

Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to Assurance@TextileExchange.org. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 238	238. Adding RCS for GRS certified organizations	ASR-101-V2.1 B3.1.1		
Situation:	Sometimes an organization is GRS certified but not RCS certified and needs to sell products as RCS certified. This includes cases where the organization is selling to an RCS certified brand and wants the organization to apply labels, as well as cases where products are identified as ineligible for GRS but eligible for RCS. Since the criteria of the RCS are all evaluated during a GRS audit, this is low risk.			
Interpretation:	RCS certification is separate from GRS certification. The certification body shall only issue RCS transaction certificates to organizations (sellers) who are RCS certified. Products which carry RCS on-product claims shall not be included on a GRS transaction certificate.			
	certification body may issue an RCS scope certificate for (facilities, process categories, etc) without conducting a In this case, the RCS scope certificate shall be issued with	n organization is GRS certified and wishes to become RCS certified, the ation body may issue an RCS scope certificate for the same scope es, process categories, etc) without conducting any additional auditing. ease, the RCS scope certificate shall be issued with the same expiry date dUntil) as the corresponding GRS scope certificate. RCS may be added		



Calibration 238	238. Adding RCS for GRS certified organizations			ASR-101-V2.1 B3	
	as a standard to a multi-standard scope certificate which includes GRS (see ASR-104-V3.1 A5.6.3). The certification body may issue RCS transaction certificates for shipments prior to the issue date of the RCS scope certificate, provided that:				
	a. The RCS scope certificate is issued before the RCS transaction certificate is issued; and b. The organization was GRS certified at the time of the shipment.				
Date Issued:	2023.10.31	Conformity Date:	2023.10.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 241	241. Temporary Suspension of Quality Testing and Financial Records Criteria for Animal Fiber and Recycled Fibers	CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.1	
Situation:	Criteria relating to quality testing and financial records when issuing transaction certificates currently represent a challenge to organizations working in the animal fiber or recycled fiber space. While these criteria are not new, they were not fully implemented by all certification bodies prior to the mandatory implementation date of CCS-102-V3.1 (October 1, 2023).		
Interpretation:	Certification bodies are not required to implement CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.1.f (financial records) or E2.1.1.g (quality test reports) until March 31, 2024 for any product on the transaction certificate which meets both of the following criteria:		
	a. The raw materials are RM0003 (organic alpaca), RM0007 (responsible alpaca), RM0060 (organic mohair), RM0064 (responsible mohair), RM0079 (organic wool), and RM0083 (responsible wool); and		
	b. The product includes at least one of the following product categories/details: PC0032 (Tops), PC0034 (Undyed fibers), PD0089 (Greasy wool), and PD0091 (Greasy animal hair).		



Calibration 241	241. Temporary Suspension of Quality Testing and Financial Records Criteria for Animal Fiber and Recycled Fibers			CCS-102-V3	3.1 E2.1.1
	Certification bodies are not required to implement CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.1.g (quality test reports) until March 31, 2024, for any product on the transaction certificate which meets both of the following criteria: c. The raw materials are reclaimed/recycled (i.e. all RM codes are identified as post-consumer or pre-consumer in ASR-213-V1.2); and				
	d. The product is prior to the spinning stage and intended for textile production.This calibration also supersedes Calibration 235 for the specified products.				
Date Issued:	2023.10.06	Conformity Date:	2023.10.06	Status:	Issued

Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted?	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.20, D4.6.8			
Situation:	The ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) that recertification audits be conducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, and D4.6.8 requires that the certification decision be made within 60 days of the audit.				
	_	ar in advance may a recertification audit be conducted? Is it allowable to e a certification decision within 60 days of the audit and issue a scope cate upon expiry of the previous scope certificate?			
	Update				
	The current calibration uses the word "may" which allows the user to interpetate that they are allowed to exceed the 90-day limit. There's being cases of misinterpretation.				
Adjusting the wording can aid in clarifying the intent of the calibration of for CBs not to conduct audits further than 90 days before the expiration scope certificate.					



Calibration 140	What is the earliest a recertification audit may be conducted? ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.20, D4.6.8				
Interpretation:	Recertification audits may be conducted, as a maximum, up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, i.e. recertification audits are not to be conducted prior to 90 days before the expiration date of the scope certificate. If a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, issuing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit date (typically to the anniversary date).				
	UPDATED: 2023.08.31 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.01.28				
Date Issued:	2023.08.31	Conformity Date:	2023.08.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 208	Certification Body Moving their Accredited Office	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8	
Situation:	A certification body wishes to transfer their accreditation to a different office, which may or may not be in a different country or party of a different legal entity (e.g. subsidiary or sister company) to the office which held the original accreditation. What is the procedure for this? Is this considered a new accreditation?		
Interpretation:	If a certification body wishes to move their accreditation to a different office, the accreditation body shall determine if this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information or if a new accreditation is required, and shall communicate this decision to Textile Exchange.		
	the accreditation body determines that this may be accepted as a simple update of contact information, the certification body shall provide an updated upplication form to assurance@textileexchange.org. Textile Exchange shall update applicable systems accordingly.		
	If a new accreditation is needed, the certification body shall submit a new application form to Textile Exchange with applicable supporting		



Calibration 208	Certification Bod	ASR-101-V2	1 D1.1.8		
	rely on past exper track the applicat original office is no charge an additio	extile Exchange will frience and/or evidence ion. Provided that this ot maintaining accredinal application fee and applications are othe	e from the accred s is a transfer of ac litation), Textile E d will review an ap	itation body t creditation (i xchange will	to fast- i.e. the not
Date Issued:	2023.08.31	Conformity Date:	2023.08.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 130	Certification of Non-Textile Reclaimed Materials	GRS v4.2/RCS v2.2 A3.1b				
Situation:	What action should certification bodies take before acce reclaimed or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?	What action should certification bodies take before accepting non-textile reclaimed or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?				
	Update 2023.08.25:					
		e Exchange has received significant feedback regarding the wording of iginal Calibration 130, including lack of clarity and the additional burden provals.				
Interpretation:	Due to the wide range of potential reclaimed or recycled materials available, and due to differing definitions of these terms in different countries or sectors, the certification body shall contact Textile Exchange for approval prior to accepting an application from an organization who wishes to certify non-textile pre-consumer reclaimed or recycled materials which are not already RCS or GRS certified.					
	Update 2023.08.25:					
	The above text has been adapted to only include pre-consumer materials. Advance approval is no longer required for post-consumer materials.					
	Advance approval is required prior to recertification of an organization who accepts non-certified, non-textile pre-consumer reclaimed or recycled materials as input unless the certification body has documentation of past approval from Textile Exchange for that organization.					



Calibration 130	Certification of N	on-Textile Reclaime	d Materials	GRS v4.2/R A3.1b	CS v2.2
	accreditation. Each Assurance@Text application consist appropriate detail application, the control of the contr	oplies to all certification of certification body is ileExchange.org in orests of a set of question ls. If any ineligible matertification body is obtained will be building addit approval is needed, with the certification bodies from a proval is retification body to respond to the approval of the appro	s required to reach der to evaluate the as that need to be terial is identified ligated to withdra ional guidance to which may include the approval proc not needed, Textil quest an applicati g as a recycler. In	n out to e application. answered wit during the re w the certificated the screen and the screen as based on the exchange ron as describitis case, the	The the newal ation. The cenarios adividual
	Updated 2: 2023.08.25				
	Updated 1: 2022.07.19 Orginally Issued: 2020.12.14				
Date Issued:	2023.08.25	Conformity Date:	2023.08.25	Status:	Issued



Calibration 237	237. Audit Methods Document Not Published CCS-102-V3.1 D4				3.1 D4
Situation:	CCS-102-V3.1 CCS Certification Procedures D4 references a new document, ASR-114 Audit and Assessment Methods Policy, which is not yet published.				
Interpretation:	bodies shall conti	change.org/app/uplo	2-V3.0 D4 in its pla	ace.	
Date Issued:	2023.08.25	Conformity Date:	2023.08.25	Status:	Issued

Calibration 235	235. Guideline regarding the second-party and third-party testing	CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.3		
Situation:		ere is confusion regarding product quality testing criteria, including who by conduct testing and which test reports are required for transaction rtificates.		
Interpretation:	It is mandatory for the seller to provide second- or third-reports for inputs and outputs when applying for a transc CCS-102-V3.1 E2.1.3.b). Composition testing is not required Quality tests refer to the following tests: For fibers (PC0033, PC0034), the fiber length in mm an applicable unit; For yarns (PC0029, PC0030, PC0031), the yarn count; For fabrics (PC0025, PC0026, PC0027, PC0028, PC00 (weight in g/m2) and fabric construction (e.g. ends/pickcount);	action certificate (see ired. d fiber fineness in		



Calibration 235	235. Guideline re third-party testin	egarding the second- ng	party and	CCS-102-V3	3.1 E2.1.3	
	For garments and claimed material;	l finished textile produ	ıcts, the fabric gsı	m for all fabri	cs with	
	For down and fear	For down and feather (RM0032, RM0039, RM0328, RM0329), the fill power; and				
	For all other products and materials, any similar testing which is mutually agreed on between the buyer and the seller.					
	The seller should provide a copy of the output test report to the buyer. The buyer may use the seller's output test report as their input test report.					
	The lab conducting the testing should be an ISO/IEC 17025 accredited third-party lab. However, a second party lab or a non-accredited third party lab is also acceptable. Additionally, the seller's own lab may conduct the testing provided that the lab has been directly approved by the buyer or is ISO/IEC 17025 accredited.				lab is sting	
	For definitions of first-, second-, and third-party, please refer to ISO/IEC 17000:2004.					
Date Issued:	2023.08.09	Conformity Date:	2023.08.09	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 219	Multiple Geographic Origins on Transaction Certificates	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.12.1d,e
Situation:	Some transaction certificates include more than one geographic origin (country/area and state/province) of raw material in one single line item in Box 11 of the transaction certificate when the same material comes from different locations. Is it correct to do this? How to proceed if we have the same material from 3 different locations, but the distinction of how much came from each region is not specified?	
Interpretation:	If there is more than one geographic origin (country/area and state/province) for the same raw material on a transaction certificate, this may be depicted in one of two ways:	



Calibration 219	Multiple Geographic Origins on Transaction Certificates	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.12.1d,e			
	Option 1 - Preferred				
	(state/province). Where the exact weight is not available based on the input transaction certificate(s), this may be	d weight is separated out per raw material and per geographic origin province). Where the exact weight is not available per geographic origin in the input transaction certificate(s), this may be estimated based on the proportion of the raw material on the input transaction certificate(s).			
	EXAMPLE: A transaction certificate includes 20,000 kg provinces AA and BB in Country1 and state CC in Country				
	The transaction certificate shows raw materials as follow	vs:			
	RM0189 - certified weight 10,000 kg - Country1 (AA)				
	RM0189 - certified weight 3,000 kg - Country1 (BB)				
	RM0189 - certified weight 7,000 kg - Country2 (CC)				
	Option 2				
	Certified weight is listed per raw material but is not sepa origin.	rated out per geographic			
	EXAMPLE: As above. The transaction certificate shows	raw materials as follows:			
	RM0189 - certified weight 20,000 kg - Country1 (AA); C(CC)	ountry1 (BB); Country2			
	OR				
	RM0189 - certified weight 13,000 kg - Country 1 (AA); Co	ountry1 (BB)			
	RM0189 - certified weight 7,000 kg - Country 2 (CC)				
	NOTE: Textile Exchange intends to link raw material original a future version of the transaction certificate policy.	gins directly to products in			



Calibration 219	Multiple Geographic Origins on Transaction Certificates			ASR-104-V3 B2.12.1d,e	3.0
Date Issued:	2023.07.31	Conformity Date:	2023.07.31	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 233		tag, or label manufac ds for making claims		CCS-201-V	3.1 E
Situation:		aging, hangtags, or labels are certified to a Textile Exchange ho is considered to be the brand?			
Interpretation:	be brands. The forexamples in the liadded: This company we products which a attaching to anot distribution chandone by the company the final packagin	packaging, hangtags allowing example shows at of examples in the sould be considered a bare packaging, hangtagher product (e.g. garranels. The labeling and pany, as is the printing els. In the case of packag product may be physice being sold to the fi	uld be considered a guidance note, the grand: A company o gs, or labels used f nent) and sells the l branding of the pr g and physical attac kaging, which is no ysically handled by	designs and or holding o m through n roduct are gothing, in the ot physically	develops r nultiple enerally case of attached,
Date Issued:	2023.07.31	Conformity Date:	2023.07.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 236	236. Activities Performed by Certification Body Subcontractors	ASR-101-V2.1 Appendix A				
Situation:	Which activities may only be performed by a certification body directly, or by a subcontractor?					
	Relevant definitions from ASR-101-V2.1:					
	Subcontractor: []An independent legal entity hired by provide services related to certification activities, exclude	=				
	certification body to act as an assessor or an auditor on a employee basis, but subject to the accreditation/certific procedures. A freelancer may not also conduct client recomanagement activities (see: subcontractor). An individuato be a freelancer if payment is made to a company (e.g. consulting business), provided that the work is stipulate	e: An individual who is hired by an accreditation body or a n body to act as an assessor or an auditor on a contract/non-basis, but subject to the accreditation/certification body's s. A freelancer may not also conduct client recruitment or ent activities (see: subcontractor). An individual may be considered elancer if payment is made to a company (e.g. an incorporated business), provided that the work is stipulated to be done by a ividual and that the business does not engage in client recruitment ment activities.				
Interpretation:	The following activities are considered to be core function operations and shall only be conducted by certification by subcontractors, not freelancers or other parties:	=				
	- Management of auditors, including hiring and select audits;	ing auditors for specific				
	- Planning of audit activities;					
	- Review of audit reports (separate from final certificat	tion decisions);				
	- Review and granting of claims approvals;					
	- Processing of transaction certificate applications;					
	- Maintaining legally required registrations on behalf (including CNCA registration for operations in China);	Maintaining legally required registrations on behalf of the certification body cluding CNCA registration for operations in China);				
	- Client management activities including client comm	unications;				



Calibration 236	236. Activities Pe Subcontractors	erformed by Certifica	tion Body	ASR-101-V2 Appendix A	
	Direct client recruitment;Client invoicing; andContracting with clients including certification agreements.				
	NOTE: Certification decisions and the issuance of scope and transaction certificates are required to be conducted by the certification body directly and not by a subcontractor or freelancer (see ASR-101-V2.1 D3.2.6.a).				
Date Issued:	2023.07.12	Conformity Date:	2023.07.12	Status:	Issued

Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities in Purchasing Seed Cotton	OCS-105-V0.1, ASR- 106-v2.3			
Situation:	seed cotton but is not named as the buyer on the input (sertificate or seller on the output (lint cotton) transaction	n noted that in some cases in Turkey a ginning facility purchases on but is not named as the buyer on the input (farm) transaction or seller on the output (lint cotton) transaction certificate, and is sted as a subcontractor. GOTS has created an exception for on certificates issued before October 1, 2022.			
Interpretation:	by definition and therefore shall be identified as the buye	g facility is purchasing seed cotton, that facility is not a subcontractor on and therefore shall be identified as the buyer on input (farm) in certificates, then as the seller of lint cotton on the output in certificate.			
	in Turkey issued before October 1, 2022. In such cases, we Exchange is required to review the transaction certificat OCS In Conversion Public Exemption and ASR-106 Accesstandards), a declaration from the seller on the first GOT	permitted an exception to these criteria for transaction certificates issued before October 1, 2022. In such cases, whenever Textile is required to review the transaction certificate data (see OCS-105 nversion Public Exemption and ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent s), a declaration from the seller on the first GOTS transaction shall be provided to Textile Exchange alongside the transaction s which states the following:			
	 The name and address of the organization making the declaration; The name, job title, and signature of the authorized signatory; 				



Calibration 221	Ginning Facilities	s in Purchasing Seed	Cotton	OCS-105-V 106-v2.3	0.1, ASR-	
		3. The number of the first GOTS transaction certificate along with the issuing certification body, date, volume (kg), and buyer's name;				
	4. A declaration t	nat:				
	_	The organization understands that the gin took legal ownership of the cotton and therefore was required to be the seller on the first transaction cate;				
	_	ne organization will ensure that all transaction certificates issued on or ctober 1, 2022 will meet this requirement; and				
	c. That the info correct.	ne information provided about the transaction certificate is true and				
	If the first GOTS transaction certificate was issued on or after October 1, 2022, no exception to the definition of subcontractor is permitted.					
Date Issued:	2023.07.07	Conformity Date:	2023.07.07	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 234	234. Animal Fiber Transaction Certificate Applications over 180 days	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3	
Situation: Several certified organizations overlook the requirement certificate before 180 days passed after the earliest ship application. This omission is often associated with adminare neither related to the Textile Exchange policies nor to standards. Given the certified product integrity is confirming introduce some flexibility to allow these organizations to commercial operations of certified goods.		ment date in the nistrative reasons which o non-conformities to the med, there is the need to	
	The Assurance team has identified a lower risk involved for animal fibers before the spinning stage in the RAF supply chain when this type of oversight happens and is issuing this calibration for that limited scope.		



Calibration 234	234. Animal Fibe Applications ove	r Transaction Certifi r 180 days	cate	ASR-104-V	'3.0 A8.3		
Interpretation:	application is rec	e certification body may issue a transaction certificate if a complete and valid cation is received between 181 and 270 calendar days from the earliest nent date, provided that:					
		tion body agrees to is It the authenticity of t			i.e. there are		
	materials: RM000	oroducts on the transaction certificate are limited to the following Raw RM0003 (Organic Alpaca), RM0007 (RAF Alpaca), RM0059 (Inna Mohair), RM0064 (RAF Mohair), RM0077 (Wool), and RM0083 (RAF					
	c. Product cate fibers); and	uct categories are limited to PC0032 (Tops) and PC0034 (Undyed and certification body provides Textile Exchange with the transaction enumber and justification for issuing it using the form Certification Body grorm For Animal Fiber Transaction Certificate over 180 days. Trification body may also issue a transaction certificate if an incomplete on or an inquiry about a specific transaction certificate was received 0 days of the earliest shipment date and before June 1, 2023, provided anditions in 1a1d. are met.					
	certificate numbe						
	application or an within 270 days o						
	body rejected app						
		NOTE: Textile Exchange is considering how to address this criterion for other fibers and products.					
Date Issued:	2023.06.08	Conformity Date:	2023.06.08	Status:	Retirement Pending		



Calibration 223	Requirements for Wastewater/Effluent/Sludge Treatment Systems	GRS-101-V4.0 C2.3e, C2.3f				
Situation:	_	The GRS allows treating wastewater either on-site or off-site. Many operators reat wastewater off-site in a common effluent treatment plant (CETP) which may be private or government owned.				
	conform with GRS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZI Guidelines. However, CETPs abide by local or national g standards which usually are more lenient than ZDHC's standards. Additionally, the certification bodies cannot	Effluent section in the GRS establishes that CETPs need to n GRS v4.0 Appendix D which is based on ZDHC's Wastewater However, CETPs abide by local or national governmental hich usually are more lenient than ZDHC's Additionally, the certification bodies cannot audit the quality of the g the CETP. These facts create a gap in conformity with the GRS.				
	because it doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to a	the GRS is vague on proper treatment and disposal of sludge doesn't refer to any guideline parameters to assess its attributes, eded for on-site treatment systems that certification bodies will				
Interpretation:	Each GRS site shall have a system to ensure that wastewater receives proper treatment, whether the site has an on-site process or uses an off-site service provider. Depending on the wastewater treatment location, the following applies: a. On-site treatment systems shall conform to the criteria of GRS-101-V4.0 C2.3.					
	i. For sludge management, the site should provide the with a copy of the valid contract between the site and the contractor.	=				
	plant (CETP), the site shall provide the certification body	ng an off-site treatment plant, known as a common effluent treatment ETP), the site shall provide the certification body with evidence that ted wastewater/effluent leaving the CETP facility meets local or I legal parameters.				
	i. The certification body should confirm that the CETP is legally operating by viewing the existence of a permit, agreement, or contract with the certified se or with any other system participants such as the local pollution control pard.					
	ii. The site should provide evidence that sludge general facility meets local or national legal parameters.	ated at the CETP				



Calibration		Requirements for Wastewater/Effluent/Sludge			GRS-101-V4.0	
223		Treatment Systems			C2.3e, C2.3f	
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	2023.05.31 Conformity Date: 2023.05.31				

Calibration 227	Darkness Period	for Ducks		RDS-101-V3 AW2.7	3.0
Situation:	Ducks are primarily raised for meat with down a secondary consideration. Companies worldwide are working with different criteria when providing a mandatory darkness period to ducks, depending on the country of operation and the standard(s) prevailing within the farmed duck industry of each country. The RDS criteria differ from some of these standards. Textile Exchange identified the benefit of updating the RDS with the most common practice. This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's upcoming unified standard.				
Interpretation:	RDS criterion AW2.7 may be implemented as follows where the criterion as written in the standard is not feasible: Except for brooding under a heat lamp up to four weeks of age, ducks shall be provided a minimum period of six hours of continuous darkness - or near darkness - at night and this shall be preceded by thirty minutes of dusk and followed by thirty minutes of dawn. In addition, a minimum of eight hours of light during the day shall be provided.				
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3
Situation:	Farmers in different parts of Australia are currently having feral pests such as foxes, wild dogs, and feral pigs. Their production and financial loss and the local economy is as such that national and state authorities have implement farmers.	rattacks cause fected. The impact is



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Baiting for Predator Control	RWS-101a-V2.2 LM2.6.3		
	These predators maim or kill livestock such as lambs, adult sheep, poultry, goats, and native wildlife. They also pose a threat to humans and pets through the transmission of diseases such as Distemper, Parvo, Mange, Hydatids (Zoonotic disease that can affect humans), Sheep Measles, Neospora Caninum, and Ehrlichiosis. Some animals can even desiccate feed supplies of grazing animals, destroy pasture and habitat, and contaminate water. The government has implemented programs such as Local Land Services to provide guidance, training, and risk assessments on the use of different pest management techniques such as shooting, trapping, and baiting. Usually, poison baits are restricted materials that cannot be purchased or used without licensing, training, and signage installation on the property. The use of poison as a lethal control method is not currently allowed by the RWS. This calibration aligns with the proposed language for Textile Exchange's			
Interpretation:	upcoming unified standard. A certified farm or farm group in Australia may use poison baiting as a predator control method provided all of the following conditions are met: 1. There shall be a verifiable predator threat to goats/sheep. 2. Predators shall be classified as invasive species by the relevant authority. Endemic predator species shall not be eligible for the application of this calibration. 3. The decision to use poison shall be taken on a landscape or regional level and involve expert input from an external body such as Landcare Australia. 4. Anti-coagulant poisons or cholecalciferol shall not be used. 5. Poison baiting shall take place over set, targeted periods only -it shall not occur continuously. 6. The farm shall have a written predator management plan with the following			
	a. Detailed explanation of the predator issue,			



Calibration 228	Use of Poison Bai	ting for Predator Co		RWS-101a-\ LM2.6.3	/2.2		
		b. Predator control proposal establishing the responsible person for every action, when it shall be executed, and where it will be implemented.					
	individual predato	c. Alternative methods of control that conform to the RWS, e.g. shooting ndividual predators, or use of CO2 traps, including reasons why they are nadequate for the farm's situation.					
		pproach analysis cons number if the target p	-	=	that		
	predator-proof fe	7. The farm shall have attempted at least two non-lethal control methods (e.g. predator-proof fencing, light or sound deterrents, livestock guardian dogs) before considering the poison bait option.					
	determine where	8. Monitoring shall take place before and after poison bait is used to first determine where invasive predators are active and secondly to determine the success of the baiting program. Records shall be kept.					
	9. The position of end of the baiting	baits shall be marked period.	d and any undated	baits remove	ed at the		
		all be distributed so a ry or secondary poiso		get wildlife be	eing		
	11. Signs shall be placed all around the property, especially on their boundary to ensure all neighbors and visitors are aware poisoning is conducted on the property.						
		12. All bait (including that which is unused or uneaten) shall be used and disposed of according to product label requirements.					
	13. Fumigating dens with carbon monoxide is not an acceptable activity under this exemption.						
Date Issued:	2023.05.31	Conformity Date:	2023.05.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 229	Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.4		
Situation:	An organization may choose to change certification bodies. In this case it presents challenges to obtain transaction certificates from the previous certification body. A certification body could also have their accreditation suspended or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue transaction certificates. The existence of transaction certificates available in dTrackit now mitigates the risk of volume reconciliation errors or volume fraud.			
Interpretation:	When an organization transfers between certification bodies (from the "preceding certification body" to the "succeeding certification body", including when the transfer occurs at recertification), the succeeding certification body may issue transaction certificates for shipments from the time when the organization was certified with the preceding certification body, provided that all of the following conditions are met. This applies after the succeeding certification body's scope certificate is issued. 1. The organization held a valid scope certificate at the time of the shipment, and			
	the product is eligible to be included on a transaction certificate; 2. The inputs are not seed cotton (PC0088) or lint cotton (PD0074);			
	3. The following transaction certificates have been recei dTrackit system and can be authenticated in the Textile Certificate Verification Portal at https://textileexchange transaction/:	ved by Textile Exchange's Exchange Transaction		
	a. All applicable input transaction certificates issued t standards for the transaction certificate to be issued; and	•		
	b. All output transaction certificates issued to the organisme standard since the date of the earliest input transa			
	4. The two certification bodies shall agree that the succeeding certification body will be responsible for issuing transaction certificates for all shipments after a specified date;			
	5. The succeeding certification body has conducted a volume that claimed material has not been over-sold by tincluding receiving volume reconciliation data from the plody;	he organization,		



Calibration 229	Transaction Cert between Certifica	ificate Issuance after ation Bodies	Transferring	ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.4		
	6. The succeeding	g certification body sh	all meet the follo	wing data crit	eria:		
	scLegacyNo data	a. Specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certificate;					
	referencing the pr	b. Specify the certification body at the time of the shipment in Box 12 by referencing the preceding certification body's licensing code (e.g. "Seller was certified by CB-ABC on the applicable shipment date(s).");					
	c. Fully implem (see Calibration 17	ent Textile Exchange 72); and	s criteria for the s	scNo and scV	ersionNo		
		are GOTS certified, su rocessor into dTracki		transaction c	ertificates		
	7. All applicable products shall be included on the succeeding certification body's scope certificate. All applicable products shall match a product on the preceding certification body's scope certificate for all required information except that different blend percentages may be specified. All applicable process categories shall appear on the scope certificates from both certification bodies.						
	NOTE: Textile Exchange will review these criteria for future updates to ASR-104 Policy for Transaction Certificates. All other criteria for transaction certificates, including those in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards, continue to apply.						
	Updated:	Updated: 2023.04.18					
	Originally issued: 2023.03.27						
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Retirement Pending		



Calibration 232	Transfer Audits i	n the case of CB Susp	pension	ASR-112-V2	.0 C3.4	
Situation:	Transfer audits are abbreviated audits intended to simplify the transition between certification bodies when there may be an urgent need outside of the recertification cycle. ASR-112-V2.0 permits transfer audits in the case of CB withdrawal, but does not permit them for voluntary transfers between CBs. In the case of a CB being suspended, their clients may feel that a transfer of certification is necessary to control risk and ensure continuity of service.					
Interpretation:	suspended for the before the date th more information	A transfer audit may be conducted when the certification body has been suspended for the organization's scope and is still suspended 7 calendar days before the date the transfer audit is conducted. See ASR-112-V2.0 C3.5 for more information about transfer audits.				
	In this case, the succeeding certification body shall specify the preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope certificate, and shall follow instructions from Textile Exchange for reporting on transfer audits to allow for fees to be calculated correctly.					
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 F2.6.2		
Situation:	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located. Textile Exchange is moving to require that GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this affect the criterion relating to maps?			
Interpretation:	An RAF farm group or communal farmer group ICS is not required to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located provided that the ICS maintains the following, which can be clearly linked per farm: 1. A list of farms which can be linked back to the scope certificate;			



Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	1		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1/R V1.0 F2.6.2		
	Exchange's syste	2. A copy of the Farm Questions for each farm, as required by Textile Exchange's system; and				
		3. Polygon data (i.e. GIS shapefiles) for each farm showing the location and amount of farmland.				
	the GIS data for ea	NOTE: Textile Exchange's system will not automatically give the ICS access to the GIS data for each member farm collected by Textile Exchange, but will provide a mechanism for the ICS to access the information in the future. Additional fees may apply for this access.				
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28					
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.08.20					
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR- 104-V3.0 A4	
Situation:	Scope certificates and Transaction certificates are fundation integrity system of Textile Exchange standards. Certificates to consistently authenticate input transaction certificates to continuous flow of goods in the supply chain (see CCS-16 Some CBs are not fulfilling in a timely manner their oblig of scope and transaction certificate authenticity. Textile transaction certificate authentication portal which prese authentication of some transaction certificates.	ation bodies must o safeguard the 02-V3.0 E2.1.4). ation to provide evidence Exchange has created a	
Interpretation:	In order to authenticate a transaction certificate, the certification body shall use one of the following options:		



Calibration 189	Certificate Authe	ntication		ASR-103-V 104-V3.0 A			
	transaction/. This	1. Authentication via the portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate-transaction/. This should be used as the preferred option where possible. This method will become the only accepted method in the future.					
		Authentication via the certification body's own database system, if the nsaction certificate was issued by the same certification body. OR					
		via the method specificate	-	g certification	body at		
		tion certificate canno . See CCS-102-V3.0 E		d, the certific	ation body		
		body is required to up authenticated (see A		_	ow their		
	4. If a different method of authentication is used for some of a certification body's scope certificates (e.g. for specific standards or countries), this information shall be included.						
	 5. If all of the certification body's transaction certificates are available via Textile Exchange's transaction certificate portal, reference to this portal is sufficient to meet ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2. 6. If transaction certificate authentication is conducted manually, a maximum of two business days is allowed for the verification to be completed when the certification body receives a request (see ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2.1). 						
	7. In the case of automated methods of transaction certificate authentication such as a single webpage with verification capabilities, accessed by using a URL or QR code, the certification body shall provide instructions to users in case the system is not returning valid evidence of verification.						
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28						
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.08.01						
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Retirement Pending		



Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2					
Situation:	It is unclear how specific processing categories shall be	used.					
Interpretation:	The following clarifications are provided for processing of	categories:					
	Processing - A facility shall be considered to be a processor when any process ategory other than PR0000 (no processing), PR0035 (brand), PR0025 (retail ales), PR0030 (trading), PR0031 (warehousing, distribution), and PR0041 Buying house) applies to the site. A processor site may also qualify for any of hese process codes except for PR0000 (see below).						
		g (PR0000) - This processing category shall apply when no other ategory is suitable. It is typically used for sites without physical within the same scope certificate as processing sites.					
	Brand (PR0035) - This process category is only to be us the design and development of final products. The supp final product sold to a consumer includes exactly one brause of this processing category is essential to ensure that access to dTrackit. This process code does not apply to	ly chain for any certified and. Note that the correct at brands are given correct					
	Example: A site produces a branded fabric (an intermed which is sold to garment factories to be made into jacket a final product. The site shall not have PC0035 listed.	•					
	Example: A spinner produces and sells yarn primarily to spinner also sells some yarns direct to consumers in a recraft purposes. The spinner is the brand for the yarns who consumers.	tail shop and online for					
	Packing (PR0020) - This process category is considered to be processing, ar shall only be used when the packing carries a risk of product contamination of change in labels relating to the Standard. It is not intended for facilities which distributing discrete products and not applying labels.						
	Examples of packing: Bagging of bulk down; Applying had to garments; Packing individual socks into 10-packs for						
	Examples that are not considered packing: Picking finisl color) and boxing them together for shipment to an indiv	• • •					



Calibration 209	Clarifications for	Processing Categori	es	ASR-213-V1	.1 Table 3.2	
	or removing polybags on garments, unless the polybags carry the Standard's logo; Putting non-certified products into GRS-certified packaging Trading (PR0030) - This process category shall only apply to organizations that are purchasing and selling claimed materials without processing them, i.e. inputs and outputs are identical for some products across all sites within the same scope certificate. The organization or site may also have other products which they process. An office-only site for a manufacturer shall not list trading as a process category unless the organization purchases and sells claimed materials that are not processed at any of their sites. Warehousing, distribution (PR0031) - This process category shall only apply to facilities that are physically handling products without processing them, i.e. inputs and outputs from the facility are identical. The site may also have other products which they process. Buying House (PR0041) - A buying house does not take physical possession of claimed materials, but takes legal ownership and has them processed by one or more subcontractors. This process code does not apply to subcontractors. If a scope certificate includes PR0041, a minimum of one processing subcontractor and corresponding processing category shall be included on the scope certificate.					
	NOTE: The process code PR0041 is new and will be included in the next update of ASR-213.					
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Retirement Pending	



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and	Proportion of Fibers	3	CCS-101-V3	3.1 D4	
Situation:	into various textile report of the outpunpractical due to - The high cost ar - The impossibilit material, and - The error factor along with their si	The high cost and duration of testing, The impossibility of finding the exact proportion of each fiber in the output aterial, and The error factor introduced by the big amount of different input materials, ong with their size and weight. The example, 1000 garments will have 1000 varieties of blends or materials,				
Interpretation:	For example, 1000 garments will have 1000 varieties of blends or materials, and each garment's weight will be slightly different. When mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate. A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case. The mixed-fiber codes are only allowed to be used by recyclers for preconsumer and post-consumer waste. When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather than separating out individual fibers.					
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclain	ned Inputs		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	0/RCS-
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been accepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match Textile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange acknowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.				
Interpretation:	reclaimed) shall h withdrawn immed a new certification If the certification certified that recla for clarification pr Textile Exchange did not request gu have the scope re immediately. NOTE: A previous certificates issued		ed to exclude ineligation (with the same these cases. eclaimed input is entered by should reach or some scope of GRS or sin this area where exchange, the scopingible inputs or should be din this calibration, 2021. All such scope in the scope in this calibration, 2021.	ible inputs of certification aligible or has ut to Textile IRCS certificate the certificate all be withdrawon for scope	n shall be body or snot Exchange ation. If tion body shall
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 178	Criteria for the pr	oduct category PC00 d inputs	D29 Dyed Yarn	ASR-213-V1 3.3	.1 Table		
Situation:	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (preconsumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?						
Interpretation:	only identified as they shall be iden	n product inputs used by a certified site have been previously dyed but identified as dyed products as a result of a previous recycling process, shall be identified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to avoid usion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed erial.					
	"Product's color w certified supply cl dyed and the resu process.". This in	olier may request the certification body to include a statement such as uct's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the ed supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously and the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing ss.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction cate template (ASR-205-V3.0).					
	already been dyed color sorting). In s site, but washing fabric. In such a ca input is dyed recla reclaimed product yarn' (PCOO29) ca	onsumer or pre-consumer reclaimed products could have dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a process based on In such a process, dyeing might not be performed by a certified ing or finishing could be done on reclaimed product, yarn, or a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) since the eclaimed product (pre-consumer / post-consumer). When ducts that have been previously dyed are certified, the 'Dyed 9) category shall be used to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' ch shall not be used on any dyed material.					
	UPDATED: 2022.01.31						
	ORIGINALLYISSU	JED: 2022.06.08					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 216	GRS Modules for	Sites without Physic	al Possession	GRS-101-V4	I.O A3.2c
Situation:	Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?				
Interpretation:	without physical phouses. These criclaimed materials	nvironmental, and choossession of GRS ma teria still apply to faci , including subcontra criteria from the CCS o	terials such as tra lities with physica ctors.	ders and buy I possession	ring of
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 217	Listing Brand Na	mes on Scope Certifi	cate	ASR-103-V3	3.0 B3		
Situation:	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, should that brand name be somehow listed on the scope certificate?						
Interpretation:	listed as a site on applicable brand i site appendix. No listed as a site on A format for doing	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, the certification body should add a list of all applicable brand names to the Facilities Page of the scope certificate, above the site appendix. Note that the buyer on the incoming transaction certificate shall be listed as a site on the brand's scope certificate (rather than just as a brand name). A format for doing this will be provided with ASR-204 Scope Certificate Template when it is next updated.					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Retirement Pending		



Calibration 222	Water deprivatio lactating period.	n for ewes in late pre	gnancy or	RWS-101a- AW1.7.3	V2.2	
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.					
Interpretation:	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours. This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a		
Situation:	What needs to be considered for a certification body to change accreditation bodies?			
Interpretation:	An accredited certification body may change accreditation voluntary or involuntary reasons. An involuntary change in accreditation body occurs when body is no longer able and willing to offer accreditation for body's scope (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreditation body accepting certification bodies in a specific country other reason is a voluntary change in accreditation body. The following steps shall apply for a change in accreditation.	en the accreditation or the certification editation body, or is no y). A change for any		



Calibration 224	Change of Accred	ditation Bodies		ASR-101-V2 D1.1.8.a	2.1	
224	change accreditar Certification Body 2. If the succeedir accreditation bod fee (see ASR-107 complete the auth 3. In the case of a shall meet the foll a. Any assessment has been complet b. The certificatio last assessment v suspension or wit c. The certificatio Textile Exchange	n body shall notify Te tion body and submit Application Form nang accreditation body y, the certification Fee Strutorization process be voluntary change in a owing criteria: In the which has been stated and the accreditation body shall have a point the preceding accomplished by the process and body shall be up to the including site fees a som Textile Exchange.	extile Exchange of the an updated copy of ming the new accression and authorized shall pay the new accression and the accression body, accreditation body, arted by the precedition decision has been excited accreditation body (i.e. of the scope of accreditation data submission and data submission accreditation data submission accreditation data submission accreditation data submission accreditation accreditation data submission accreditation accreditation data submission accreditation data submission accreditation accreditation data submission accreditation accreditation data submission accreditation accreditation data submission accreditation	heir intention of ASR-206 editation booked Textile Exwaccreditation booked approved. the certification accreditation accreditation in decision from the certification accreditation accreditation accreditation.	change ion body ody shall ation body tion body om the o	
	 4. Once the above criteria have been satisfied, Textile Exchange will approve the change in accreditation body. 5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment. 					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer G	lass (Moil)		GRS-101-V-101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,
Situation:	May glass moil be	considered to be rec	laimed material?		
Interpretation:	considered recycl considered pre-co stage and is within rejection.		from breakage ar undergoes an add he percentage of I	nd rejection r ditional repro oreakage and	may be ocessing d
Date Issued:	2023.01.19	Conformity Date:	2023.01.19	Status:	Issued

Calibration 184	Inputs from Tanneries, Slaughterhouses, and Abbatoirs GRS-101-V4.0/R 101-V2.0 A1						
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs?						
Interpretation:	tannery, slaughte reclaimed inputs NOTE: Calibration	Animal fibers (including wool), animal hides, and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, abattoir, or similar operation shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS. NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 215	Approval for VR2 Certifications CCS			CCS-105-V3	3.0 B1.2-
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.				
Interpretation:	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 207	Sale of Claimed Material at a Shared Subcontractor Location			ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3		
Situation:	What should the shipping date be for transaction certificate purposes if claimed material changes ownership from one certified organization to another while being stored at a third-party facility which is a subcontractor for both the seller and the buyer?					
Interpretation:	If claimed material is sold and there is no physical shipment of the material associated with the sale (e.g. the same facility is acting as a storage subcontractor for both the buyer and the seller), the date of the change in legal ownership - typically the invoice date - shall be used as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.13	Conformity Date:	2022.12.13	Status:	Retirement Pending	



Calibration 80	Accepting Organ	ic Inputs for OCS		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1
Situation:	Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?				
Interpretation:	from farms which NOP, Regulation (Standards). A nat not be used to proside Sites further along accept inputs whi equivalent for OC Updated: 2022.12	The OCS allows first processors to accept organically grown material inputs from farms which are certified under one of the three categories listed (USDA NOP, Regulation (EC) 834/2007 & EU 2018/848, or IFOAM Family of Standards). A national organic standard which is not included in this list shall not be used to provide organically grown material inputs for OCS. Sites further along the supply chain (i.e. not the first processor) may only accept inputs which are OCS certified or certified to a standard listed as equivalent for OCS in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards. Updated: 2022.12.12 Originally issued: 2020.07.10			
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued

Calibration 192	Classification of a rented facility in the scope CCS-101-V3 certificate?				3.1 C5.2
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor. Updated: 2022.12.12 Originally issued: 2022.09.22				
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued



Calibration 197	Certified Sites as Associated Subcontractors CCS-101-V3.1 C5.				3.1 C5.2
Situation:	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.01	Conformity Date:	2022.12.01	Status:	Issued

Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3			
Situation:	Various transportation and storage arrangements exist for unprocessed animal fibers prior to the spinning stage. These agreements include:				
	1. "Ex-Works" arrangements where animal fiber is sold (change in legal ownership) but stored for some time at the seller's storage facility. The buyer subsequently arranges transportation for the fiber.				
	2. Arrangements where the farm or farm group stores unprocessed fibers at a storage or processing facility (e.g., a scour) before selling the fibers. The fibers may be sold to the processor or to another party in processed or unprocessed form.				
	In both of these scenarios, the animal fiber is being sold but is not physically shipped at the time of sale.				
Interpretation:	When animal fibers (before the spinning stage) are stored at a facility that does not belong to the owner of the fibers, that facility shall be considered to be a subcontractor for storage, even if that facility is also the seller on the incoming transaction certificate or buyer on the outgoing transaction certificate of the organization which owns the fibers. When animal fibers change ownership without physically moving between facilities, the date of the ownership change may be accepted as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes. The change in legal ownership is based on the invoice date when there is no physical shipment of material.				



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sale	es Setups		ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.3
	The buyer then are buyer's facility. In would be the same subcontractor for 2. A farm group she facility stores the sells the mohair to subcontractor for end of the 56 days. 3. A broker ships of fiber for 43 days. processing facility the fiber shipped in processing subcontractor subcontractor for end of the 56 days.	processed wool to a branges for the wool to this case, the "shipme as the sale date, and the wool storage (87 mips unprocessed mole mohair for 56 days. As the scouring facility, the 56 days before the for transaction certiful unprocessed alpaca for the broker then sells (7), pays for the processed to the buyer. The processed to the buyer. The processed when the fiber is significant to the sells of the buyer.	be picked up and ent date" for the to date. If the seller would days). The scouring faction as the sale. The "shipp icate purposes. The fiber to a sepansing facility to processing facility to processing facility actions and the sale. The sessing facility actions and the sale.	I transported ransaction ce then act as the facility, and the days, the farility acts as a bing date" occurred facility and arate buyer (nocess the fibets as a storagensaction certificans action certification certificatio	to the ertificate he buyer's he scouring rm group curs at the lot the erthen has e and tificate
Date Issued:	2022.11.11	Conformity Date:	2022.11.11	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information	ASR-104-V3.0 C3.5
Situation:	The full implementation of ASR-104-v3.0 Policy for Tran July 1, 2022, mandates disclosure of the geographic original The routine issuance of output transaction certificates have because many input transaction certificates issued before origin information. Certification bodies request a guidan such transaction certificates whilst conforming to the trappolicy.	in of each raw material. as been interrupted re July 1 are missing the ce procedure to manage



Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information	ASR-104-V3.0 C3.5			
Interpretation:	1. For transaction certificates issued after May 1, 2021, ar certification body shall:	nd before July 1, 2022, the			
	a. Request the missing geographical origin information	from either:			
	i. The certification body who issued the input transacti	on certificate, or			
	ii. The seller as listed on the input transaction certifica	i. The seller as listed on the input transaction certificate.			
		e certification body cannot gather the geographical origin information as			
	2. If the certification body cannot gather the geographic outlined in item 1:				
	a. The output transaction certificate may be issued only certificate is correct and in conformity to the Policy for T that was valid at the time of issuance.				
	b. The certification body shall enter "Not available" in E transaction certificate.	Box 11 of the output			
	3. The Textile Exchange Assurance team may perform it transaction certificates to ensure conformity with this caidentifying a non-conforming transaction certificate, the applicable accreditation body for further investigation.	llibration. In case of			
	4. Transaction certificates missing geographic origin information and issued before May 1, 2021, are not subject to the due diligence in item 1, but the certification body shall comply with item 2.				
	5. All transaction certificates issued by first processors a shall include the geographic origin information or otherw invalid. All other transaction certificates in the supply ch geographic origin information in every transaction certificate missing the geographic origin 31, 2023, shall be considered invalid.	vise, shall be considered ain shall include the icate after March 31, 2023.			



Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information			ASR-104-V	3.0 C3.5
Date Issued:	2022.10.13	Conformity Date:	2022.10.13	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 190	Blending RDS/RA of the same type	AF material with recy		RDS-101-V3 101a-V2.2/F 101b-V1.2/R V1.0 B2.1.1.b	AF-101c-
Situation:	RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.				
Interpretation:	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).				
Date Issued:	2022.09.23	Conformity Date:	2022.09.23	Status:	Issued

Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Number and Scope Certificate Version Number	ASR-103-V3.0 B1.3	
Situation:	If a scope certificate number changes (e.g. as a result of the addition or removal of a product, site, and/or process, or due to a correction made on the scope certificate), how should the certification body report the change to the scope certificate number?		
Interpretation:	As per ASR-103-V3.0 Policy for Scope Certificates, section B1.3, "Each scope certificate shall have a unique alphanumeric scope certificate number generated by the certification body." Although it is not specifically stated what happens to a scope certificate number if there is an update, the intention is that each issuance of a scope certificate will have a unique number.		



Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Version Number	Number and Scope	Certificate	ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.3	
	issuance, an asso revised scope cer	To maintain traceability, if a scope certificate is amended after its original ssuance, an association between the original scope certificate number and evised scope certificate number should be maintained (e.g. original scope certificate number is 12345, and then later revised to 12345-1 due to a site addition).				
	For a certification body reporting data via dTrackit, the original (first issuance) scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC No" field. If a scope certificate is later amended (e.g. due to site inclusion), in addition to the original scope number in the "SC No" field, the revised/new scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC Version No" field.					
	For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template (i.e. the certification body is not yet onboarded to dTrackit), only the original scope certificate number shall be listed.					
	For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template for website listings, only the current (valid) scope certificate number shall be listed. NOTE: Textile Exchange will be phasing out all excel data templates once all certification bodies are onboarded to dTrackit and therefore, the excel data templates will not be amended to include an additional field for "scope certificate version number" ("SC Version No") that exists within dTrackit.					
	Updated: 2022.09.22					
	Originally issued: 2022.01.31					
Date Issued:	2022.09.22	Conformity Date:	2022.09.22	Status:	Retirement Pending	



Calibration 185	Independently Conumber	ertified Subcontracto	or facility	ASR-103-V	3.0 B3.4
Situation:	There is confusion on the use of the Facility_number and Subcontractor_number in the Facilities page of the scope certificate template because it doesn't match the text in B3.4 of the Policy for Scope Certificates.				
Interpretation:	Each independent Certified Subcont The facility number (ICS_Facility_Number from the certification in the certific	ix tly Certified Subcontr tly certified subcontra ractor Appendix along er of an independently hber) should not be offication body that initione ICS facility number ertification body that sted in the site appendently certificates of independently the Facilities page will .4.1 through B3.4.4 re	actor shall be speng with its ICS facility certified subcorrotained from the cally certified the interest to the original carried out the facility of its primary subcondently certified subcondently subco	cified in the In ity number ar stractor current certific ndependently nal facility nu cility's audit a scope certifica subcontractor cility_Number	nd address. cation body, y certified mber nd ate. rs (ICS), the
Date Issued:	2022.07.26	Conformity Date:	2022.07.26	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclaimed inputs - Silk Spinning Waste	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS- 201-V2.2		
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "pre-consumer material"?			
Interpretation:	silk spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does	ste produced from a silk filament spinning process is regularly reused in the spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does not qualify as aimed nor pre-consumer material, and the process does not qualify as ycling.		



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclain	ned inputs - Silk Spir	ining Waste	GRS-201-V- 201-V2.2	4.2/RCS-	
	considered as pre	ore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk spun yarns shall be ered as pre-consumer and only if the next processing step is recycling nechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 148.				
	If a certification be waste:	ion body has previously issued a scope certificate for ineligible silk				
	a) the scope certinexpiry, and	ne scope certificate may be maintained by the certification body until its iry, and				
) the certification body shall notify the client that their scope certificate annot be renewed for this product.				
	reclaimed, and an 2021 shall have th	No recertification is possible with material inputs which do not qualify as reclaimed, and any scope certificates with ineligible inputs issued after April 15, 2021 shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.				
	certified that recla for clarification pr Textile Exchange did not request gu	ication body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not at reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange ation prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If change or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body uest guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall cope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn ly.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.09	Conformity Date:	2022.06.09	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 176	Clarity on CB reporting and invoicing for RAF farms	ASR-107-V2022.0 B2.7
Situation:	Audit times vary with RAF so some CBs are not reporting SC until the audit occurs. Per the SC policy, farms that a to be reported as if they were already audited and are co the RAF standard on the anniversary date.	re part of an active SC are
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report all active sites on a RA anniversary date, regardless of whether the audit has oc	·



Calibration 176	Clarity on CB reporting and invoicing for RAF farms			ASR-107-V2	2022.0 B2.7
	will assume the farm will continue to be certified and invoice the certification body accordingly. If the certification body knows the site will not continue to be certified, they shall not report that site in the annual report for that scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 180	Assessment of To	extile Exchange logo	use by CBs	ASR-101-V2	.1C4.6
Situation:	1010 1100 010011 111	It is not clear in the ACP what ABs shall check in their assessment of CBs regarding CB use of the Textile Exchange logo and CB adherence to the Claims Policy.			
Interpretation:	standard logo(s) vapplicable standards Claims placeholder for the demonstrate configure to the certification The accreditation C2.3, C2.4, and C.	A certification body becomes authorized to use of the Textile Exchange standard logo(s) when the certification body licensing contract for the applicable standard has been signed with Textile Exchange (see TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy, section C2.5). The certification body may use a placeholder for the Textile Exchange standard logo (e.g. "logo goes here") to demonstrate conformity of logo use for assessment by their accreditation body prior to the certification body licensing contract being signed. The accreditation body shall evaluate certification body conformance with C2.3, C2.4, and C2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy during their assessments of the certification body.			
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued



Calibration 182	Certified organize	ation becomes inelig	ible	CCS-101-V3	3.1 B4
Situation:	A company becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States government sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the company becoming ineligible for certification.				
Interpretation:	identified as restricertification body a) Shall immediat certificate(s) of sub) Shall cease all provided by Texticolor Shall notify the and any resulting issued during the d) Shall not issue have become inel For products alread body may consider Exchange to be considered. Shall not issue months of become	ely notify Textile Excluch ineligible entity(ie related certification active le Exchange; certification body of a withdrawal if any rela validity period of the transaction certificate igible for certification ady certified prior to be them to be certified onsidered ineligible in transaction certificate	nange and withdrange;; ctivities within the any buyer of the id ted transaction cescope certificate; es for products at immediately upor ecoming ineligible unless specificall nediately; And es for ineligible products at immediately;	entified ineligentificate(s) has becoming in e, the certified boducts after s	d scope imeline gibility as been essor that neligible. ation y Textile
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued

Calibration 186	Merging of SC anniversary dates	ASR-103-V3.0 B1.10
Situation:	B1.10 of SC policy establishes the anniversary date but is to address the merging of anniversary dates for different	•



Calibration 186	Merging of SC an	niversary dates		ASR-103-V3	3.0 B1.10
Interpretation:	certificates, the co- certificate that is of shall use this refer only merge dates extend validity pe certificates with the with the same ann	nization wants to mergertification body shall closer to expiration. The rence (earliest) anniversity shortening the valuations. The certification previous anniversativersary date using the O7 remain unchanged	first identify (as rather other scope controls of the control of the controls of the control of	eference) the ertificates to be certification be calendar and draw the scopeall new scope	scope pe merged pody shall shall not pe e certificates
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 187	Mulesing using th	ne ring method		RWS-101a-\ AW3.11	/2.2
Situation:	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber rings (the kind used for castration) to remove excess skin from the breech area of sheep and give the same effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled tight and the ring applied to stop the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will give chronic rather than acute pain to the sheep. This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the RWS, so it is prohibited.				
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued



Calibration 177	Minimum months of records for Initial audit CCS-201-V3.0 & V2.0 C4 of CCS V20				CCS V3.0	
Situation:	-	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.					
Date Issued:	2022.04.28	Conformity Date:	2022.04.28	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 149	Restricted Chemicals in Fiber Production	GRS-101-V4.0 D2.2	
Situation:	Textile Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers have been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange has further identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to effectively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.		
Interpretation:	If the site uses any of the listed restricted chemicals in a production process, the scope certificate shall be withdr RCS immediately. This includes but is not limited to the and fibers: 1. DMAC (N N Dimethylacetamide/ Dimethylacetamide) and	rawn or downgraded to following chemicals	
	2. Antimony trioxide and Cobalt (II) Acetate Tetrahydrate in polyester. The details of how these chemicals may be used in certified products in the future will be considered in the unified standard development process. NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.		



Calibration 149	Restricted Chemicals in Fiber Production		GRS-101-V4.0 D2.2		
	UPDATED: 2022.01.31 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 161	Collectors and Co Geographic Scop	oncentrators Outside pe	of CB's	GRS-201-V4 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance	-	
Situation:	If a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the certification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators which are located outside of the geographic scope?					
Interpretation:	the material recyclapplies: 1. The collector or 2. The certification concentrator to a	All collectors and concentrators shall be located within the geographic scope of the material recycler's certification body, unless one of the following options applies: 1. The collector or concentrator is independently certified to the RCS or GRS; or 2. The certification body outsources all required evaluation of the collector or concentrator to a certification body who is accredited for the RCS and/or GRS with a geographic scope which includes the collector or concentrator's				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 165	Organization naming guidance for Scope Certificates and Transaction Certificates	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR- 104-V3.0 B1.4 of SC, B2.2 of TC
Situation:	Some of the Chinese customers have the same English r Chinese names. It would be a good practice to have both names listed under the Name_of_Certified_Organization companies would have their English name the same as a	the Chinese and English . It was noticed that some



Calibration 165	_	ning guidance for Sco Fransaction Certifica	-	ASR-103-V3 104-V3.0 B1 B2.2 of TC	•	
		their Chinese name is the unique identifier and cannot be mixed up with another organization. It will create an issue in deduplication.				
Interpretation:	Textile Exchange and native langua field line items wh Chinese). Only En Example: Name_of_Certified	Due to the complexity and in order to have an effective deduplication of sites in the Textile Exchange database, the certification body should mention English name and native language name in scope and transaction certificates as two separate field line items when the native language has non-English characters (e.g. Chinese). Only English charaters are permitted in the English name field. Example: Name_of_Certified_Organisation Native_Name_of_Certified_Organisation				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Retirement Pending	

Calibration 169	Raw Material Composition - Decimal Points	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.10	
Situation:	Can we accept or issue a transaction certificate where the raw material composition is mentioned with decimal points? e.g. 50.40% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49.60% Polyester		
Interpretation:	For all Textile Exchange standards, raw material compotransaction certificate shall be expressed using only who not include decimal points (i.e. shall be rounded to the ce.g. 50% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49% Polyest NOTE: Common testing methods can usually verify material composible to verify a material composition in decimal points.	ole number(s) and shall losest whole number). Ter erial composition within a by be technically	



Calibration 169	Raw Material Composition - Decimal Points			ASR-104-V3.0 B2.10	
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Retirement Pending

Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Qu submission optio	estions: Elimination n.		RAF-102-V2 D1.2.1.b	2.1	
Situation:	RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farm Questions using an online survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is mandatory as of January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options available as it was unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by then. As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, the Excel file option will no longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to the automated features of dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing and delays. The system will no longer accommodate manual submission of this information via Excel after March 31st, 2022, so the focus will be on implementing only automated data collection methods.					
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report a set of Farm Questions for each certified farm (including each member in the case of Farm Group Certification and Communal Farmer Group Certification). The certification body shall ensure all questions are complete and accurate prior to submission. The options available for submission and with mandatory implementation date no later than April 1st, 2022 are: 1. The online survey form, using the custom link provided to the certification body. 2. A mobile app with a convenient offline feature which allows entering data and submitting it later if there are internet connectivity issues.					
Date Issued:	2022.01.26	Conformity Date:	2022.01.26	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1		
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture ground cover in farms, forcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement feeding as a regular farming practice.			
	Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement yeusually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), and them with supplementary hay, anipro, and barley.	demonstrate natural		
	Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement ya tool rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS stand	-		
	The auditors are signaling confinement feeding as a major non-conformity, but the farmers find it very difficult to stop this practice under the current weather conditions in Australia.			
Interpretation:	The RWS-101a -v2.2 Responsible Wool Standard recognizes in Section C Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graze a integral part of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only exception when an emergency or severe weather conditions such as droughts, flood fires, heavy snowfall, etc., would otherwise negatively impact sheep welfar and affect the amount of pasture available for sheep or keeping a healthy shase for it.			
	The CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the far RWS principles when removing sheep from natural past to meet ALL clauses to be considered compliant with AV	ure. The farmer needs		
	1. The farmer has a written document that explains the type of emergency or severe weather affecting the farm and forcing the removal of sheep from natural pasture, including a detailed management plan that guarantees sheep welfare, as per AW5.7. This clause also covers situations like weather conditions resulting from the change of seasons, which can affect soil and animal health. Thus, it requires the written plan to have actions on land management (LM1.1) and animal health (AW3.2).			



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep	o from natural pastur		RWS-101a-\ AW5.7, AW2 AW2.11, AW LM1.1	2.14,
	2. The farm establishes a correct and effective stocking rate and follows it, as per AW2.14. The proper management of this rate can provide, for the most part, enough in-farm produced hay/forage to feed the flock during periods when removed from natural pasture.			most	
	3. The farm keeps records of the duration and justification of each emergency or severe weather occurrence that led to removing sheep from natural pasture Justification may involve information such as weather data, soil moisture deficits, pasture vegetation cover measurement, predator monitoring etc.			pasture. ure	
Date Issued:	2022.01.11	Conformity Date:	2022.01.11	Status:	Issued

Calibration 167	Mulesed Restocking	RWS-101a- V2.2/RAF-105a- V2.0 AW4.15
Situation:	Australia, the majority of wool still comes from mulesed sheep, which is rohibited under RWS. I plan that includes the RWS in the recovery phase of the farmer industry in australia needs to be developed to have animal welfare regulations such non-nulesing principles embeded in the operational procedures of the farms, accilitating the progressive shift of the flock while ramping up operations.	
Interpretation:	The majority of the sheep flock in Australia is mulesed. To challenges to producing non-mulesed wool in Australia is flock restocking for reasons of maintaining flock genetic extreme climate events like droughts and fires. Other reamy be: significant expansion of the flock, changing the sheep, and recovering from a disease problem causing housing. Textile Exchange is addressing this situation using a cal criteria below will facilitate a certification body's assessman individual exemption is eligible. This process will be contributed by the certification body, based on ASR-101-V2.1 Acreditation as	particularly related to sor recovering from asons for restocking breed or strain of high mortality or ibration where the ment that determines if carried out by the



Calibration 167	Mulesed Restocking	RWS-101a- V2.2/RAF-105a- V2.0 AW4.15
	Procedures for Textile Exchange Standards, section D2 mulesed stock other than for ram replacements and ext like droughts and fires must be dealt with on a case-by-reviewed annually for renewal - per the usual exemption process with Textile Exchange.	reme climate events case basis - as well as
	The certification body may process a single exemption certified group on behalf of several farms for the purchase.	
	1.1 The farms need to be members of that group and	
	1.2 The request needs to include a list of the farms, each rams needed for the period of one year.	n showing the number of
	2. Wool from mulesed sheep included in an exemption r sold as RWS certified.	request shall never be
	2.1The farmer will implement handling and transportation guarantee this wool is kept separate from non-mulesed	=
	3. The certification body shall keep a record of the quan approved to be purchased in each granted exemption, a	
	3.1 The certification body will report these numbers to TASR-502 Quarterly NC Report Template, in the Exempt "Notes" to report the number of animals being purchase exemption.	tion tab, using column H
	4. In case of expanding the flock, the farmer must provinumbers and timelines and why this cannot be met by rhome-bred ewe lambs.	
	5. In case of changing the breed or strain of sheep, the f plan detailing genetics change and reasoning. For example, to sheep that are better suited to non-mulesing and the retaining sheep that have a high wrinkle score, leading females.	nple, the farm is moving farmer is therefore not



Calibration 167	Mulesed Restock	ing		RWS-101a- V2.2/RAF-1 V2.0 AW4.1		
	extreme climate e	6. In case of disease, the exemption request will be processed similarly as extreme climate event if it has arisen from circumstances outside the farmer's control (no evidence of mismanagement or neglect).				
		n body may grant an o ALL of the following c		mer for resto	cking	
		emonstrates they have esting the exemption		ırce non-mul	esed	
	7.2. The stock rec	juested are specifical	ly rams for breedir	ng.		
	7.3. An extreme climate event occurs (and is demonstrated to have affected the farm) or the farmer has a specific breeding goal (the exemption request mentions genetic traits/breed type that is being selected).					
	7.4. The number of 0.5% of the total f	of mulesed breeding I lock size.	males brought in e	ach year is le	ss than	
	7.5. The farmer has implemented handling and transportation systems to guarantee that wool from these mulesed animals will be kept separate from non-mulesed wool and will not be sold or marketed as RWS certified. And 7.6. The farmer shall demonstrate that they are not artificially creating a need for additional stock – i.e., by selling their own non-mulesed ewes and/or ewe lambs and then requesting an allowance to purchase mulesed animals. (Updated 2022.03.03)				from	
					or ewe	
Date Issued:	2021.11.09	Conformity Date:	2021.11.09	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 164	Collectors and Co verification & phy	oncentrators - Addit vsical inspection	ional	GRS-201-V 201-V2.2 A Guidance	-
Situation:	shall keep a list of clients. 10% of this chosen for physic It is not clear that	GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that "Certification bodies keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that supply to recycling its. 10% of this total shall be chosen for additional verification, with 2% en for physical inspection." not clear that if the percentages selected for additional verification should etermined per certification body, per certified organization, or on another			
Interpretation:	2% physical inspe always rounded u towards the 10% s	The sampling of collectors and concentrators (10% additional verification and 2% physical inspection) shall be determined per certification body and is always rounded up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% sample for additional verification.			
	Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanical, chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have $50x1 + 50x1 = 100$ collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore needs to select 10% of $100 = 10$ collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of $100 = 2$ collectors/concentrators for physical inspection.				
Date Issued:	2021.09.22	Conformity Date:	2021.09.22	Status:	Issued

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Down and Feather from a Slaughter Site	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.1
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sit determine the exact percentage of down and of feather for since these two raw materials are separated at the down How should this material be reflected on scope and trans	es are not able to rom the total quantity, processing stage.
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) madown and feather on transaction certificates only if the s	•



Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Down and Feather from a Slaughter Site			ASR-213-V1 3.1	.1 Table
	transaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes may also be used on the corresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not be used on scope or transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.			ope or	
Date Issued:	2021.09.16	Conformity Date:	2021.09.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 159	Physical Possess	ion		CCS-101-V3	3.0 B1
Situation:	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possession of product do not have to be certified. We occasionally get questions about what 'physical possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that and let us know what it is so we can add that as a future update to the User Manual as well?				
Interpretation:	material form. It is the proprietary rig For example, whe merely transfer th Here, the process possession of material	Physical possession of goods is the physical custody or control of goods in material form. It is different from ownership of goods, where an entity acquires the proprietary rights over the goods. For example, when a processor sends materials to a subcontractor, they merely transfer the physical possession and not the ownership of materials. Here, the processor is the owner of the materials but does not have physical possession of materials, and the subcontractor has physical possession of materials but is not the owner.			
	Different actors in the supply chain such as processors, wholesalers, distributors, and retailers usually take physical possession of materials and products. Others, such as traders, do not take physical possession due to their intermediary function. Brands sometimes take physical possession depending on their commercial operations setup. Textile Exchange standards intend to cover the different arrangements among supply chain participants while guaranteeing the integrity of the verified materials and products.				e to their epending tend to
Date Issued:	2021.09.03	Conformity Date:	2021.09.03	Status:	Issued



Calibration 155	CNCA Registration	on and Freelancers		ASR-101-V2 & D3.2.6.f	2.1 D1.1.3
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?			ay to	
Interpretation:	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO 17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.				
Date Issued:	2021.07.26	Conformity Date:	2021.07.26	Status:	Issued

Calibration 151	Organizations Between Farm and First Processor for RAF	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 B1.3	
Situation:	•	countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if okers require certification.	
Interpretation:	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber and the first processor are required to be certified to the where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exclif an organization takes physical possession of the animal but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (tylics). If the organization arranges for the sale of wool (e.g. at a service fee to the farm, they are not considered to take let they receive payment for the animal fiber and remit it to the organization would be considered to be a broker. If the purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, take legal ownership.	standard, except ception to certification. al fiber (i.e. for storage) d as a subcontractor by pically the farm or uction) and charges a egal ownership even if the farm. In this case he organization	



Calibration 151	Organizations Be	Organizations Between Farm and First Processor for RAF			RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 B1.3	
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 153	Extended Timelin	ne For Invoicing		ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.3	
Situation:	In some cases, shipping of animal fiber is carried out from the farm to the buyer's warehouse in an extended time prior to invoicing for the fiber (3 months or more, and potentially more than a year). This creates a conflict with the requirement that transaction certificates be issued within 180 calendar days of the shipment date.					
Interpretation:	If a farm ships animal fiber to the buyer's warehouse but does not invoice or transfer legal ownership to the buyer for an extended time, the farm may treat the buyer's warehouse as a subcontracted warehouse, and subsequently apply for a transaction certificate when legal ownership of the animal fiber is transferred to the buyer.					
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Retirement Pending	

Calibration 145	CB Translations of Documents	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.8.1		
Situation:	May certification bodies prepare translations of Textile Exchange standards or other Textile Exchange documents to share with their non-English speaking clients?			
Interpretation:	Certification bodies may publish their own translations of Standards and other documents, provided the following 1. Textile Exchange has not published an official translation to the target language. Any certification body discontinued if Textile Exchange publishes an official translation.	conditions are met: anslation of the translations shall be		



Calibration 145	CB Translations	of Documents		ASR-101-V2	2.1 D1.8.1	
143	3. For document for approval from 4. Document Exchange document CB NAME(S)>" target language of 6. Certificat encouraged to co	ion bodies working in Ilaborate on translatio	cileExchange.org. coanish, the certifice core publishing the cohotos used in the cohics may be copie ext "Unofficial trans r in English on the cons to improve core	eation body see document. original Texed. slation prepare first page and relanguage and relanguage and resistency.	hall wait tile red by ad in the	
	7. Where Textile Exchange provides a glossary of translated terms in the target language, the provided terms shall be used.					
Date Issued:	2021.03.22	Conformity Date:	2021.03.22	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed Cotton in India OCS-101-V3.0 C				3.0 C1.1
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?				
Interpretation:	Since organic fibres are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued



Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligib	bility		OCS-205-V 15	2.1 Box
Situation:	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?				
Interpretation:	considered to concomplies with US certificate. If any owith USDA NOP reshall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on	this box indicates the	rules if all of the inc cated on the incon Material cannot be ed for this box on t at all listed produc	coming OCS ning transact confirmed to the incoming ts comply wi	th USDA
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued

Calibration 136	Definition of "Lot	п		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1 G1	-	
Situation:	standards? Is it ac	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?				
Interpretation:		The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.15	Conformity Date:	2021.01.15	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 74	Scope of Process	es for GRS Chemical	Requirements	GRS-101-V4	I.O D2	
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?					
Interpretation:	of the GRS. The G "added to the pro chemicals that ma the following mair certified material,	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified material, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel	ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.1			
Situation:	What is a sufficient number of personnel based on the number of scope certificates?				
Interpretation:	The number of personnel needed for a certificiation body with a set number of scope certificates will vary depending on the circumstances. In determining the number of personnel needed, the certification body should consider:				
		The time required to conduct each audit (including audit time, travel, anning, and reporting), as well as the review/certification decision;			
	2. The time required for administrative functions (e.g. is claims approvals; closing NCs) per scope certificate;	e required for administrative functions (e.g. issuing SCs, TCs, and provals; closing NCs) per scope certificate;			
	3. The percentage of each person's time which is dedica Exchange standards;	ted to Textile			
	4. Time spent on training and general administrative tas	spent on training and general administrative tasks; and			
	5. Full time working hours after regular time off.				



Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel		ASR-101-V2	.1 D3.1.1	
	The number of personnel should not be less than 1 full time equivalent person per 100 scope certificates, and this will typically not be sufficient.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued

Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Different Country			RAF-101a- V2.0/RAF-1 F1.3	01b-V1.0		
Situation:	_	May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?					
Interpretation:	the certified organ	The ICS location for a farm group shall be in the same country as the farms. If the certified organization's main operation is in another country, it may be included as a subsequent site in the scope certificate and may be involved with the work of the ICS.					
Date Issued:	2020.12.15	Conformity Date:	2020.12.15	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons	GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-301-V2.0 A1	
Situation:	A button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resin. The remaining material from the sheet is reground to be used as an input for buttons. May this material be considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer different if the regrinding process occurs at a different site?		
Interpretation:	The remaining material after buttons are punched out of a sheet of resin (or a similar material) shall not be considered to be reclaimed or recycled if it is used as a raw material input for button making. This includes situations where the regrinding is outsourced or is done at a different site. The previous version of this guidance (published 2020.12.14) allowed any affected scope certificates active at that time to remain valid until expiry.		



Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons		GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-301-V2.0 A1		
	Updated: 2	2022.12.12			
	Orginally Issued: 2020.12.14				
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.02.01	Status:	Issued

Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur	GRS-101-V4.0/RC 101-V2.0 A3.1b				
Situation:	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?					
Interpretation:		Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. Pre-consumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.				
Date Issued:	2020.11.09	Conformity Date:	2020.11.09	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 103	Withdrawing SCs that were issued only as Electronic certificates ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.14a					
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).					
Interpretation:	organization's ob	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 110	Timing of Recert	ification Audits and S	SC Issuance	ASR-101-V2	.1 D4.7.3	
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?					
Interpretation:	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 65	Recycled Down and Feathers GRS-101-V4.0/R 101-V2.0 A1				I.O/RCS-		
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "pre-wash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?						
Interpretation:	needs to be RCS/ certification body	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.					
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	rganic Food		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1	
Situation:	May organic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as inputs for OCS?					
Interpretation:	process may be a 1. The product wa the supply chain of 2. The organic sta methods: a. A supply chain b. A packaged pro standard, and wh on the packaging In this case, the p	atus of the product sh transaction certificate oduct which carries an ere the scope certifica	put if the following food/feed and is a all verified through e from an accepted norganic label from the final prome food waste shall	apply: waste product none of the following and accepted accessor (as identification) be considered.	ollowing ndard; or ed organic dentified	
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 67	Freedom of association and collective bargaining GRS-101-V4.0					
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.					
Interpretation:	worker organizati	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 70	Clarification on S	hadow Audit Require		ASR-101-V2 D3.1.5e, f; D	· · ·
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?				
Interpretation:	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c			:.1		
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?					
Interpretation:	·	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 94	Combined Audit Checklists	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.19		
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?			
Interpretation:	: A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are			



Calibration 94	Combined Audit Checklists		ASR-101-V2 D4.4.19	.1	
	included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 88	Use of Expired Food as Recycled GRS-101-V4.0/I				I.O/RCS-		
Situation:	May expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as recycled inputs?						
Interpretation:	be accepted as ar	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be preconsumer.					
Date Issued:	2020.07.07	Conformity Date:	2020.07.07	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycling GRS-101-V				0/RCS-		
Situation:	There is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from Textile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.						
Interpretation:	under GRS and R	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.					
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 78	Certification of O	cean Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.O/RCS-	
Situation:	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates. Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.					
Interpretation:	oceans and/or from the water level at recycling systems. No claims relating certificates unless. 1. The collector, control of the certificate to produce the certificate to produce the certificate to produce the certificate. It may to be certificate. It may to be certificate. It may to be certificate to produce the incoming transport of the incoming transport of the certificate. It may to be certificate.	ean waste" may be mentioned on the material recycler, saction certificate. An aims relating to reclar RS standard names of the has been identified fouring the next RCS and and seem identified for the seem identified for th	O.2 km of the waters higher). Material as reclaimed ocean waste may be inclusions are met: andlers are either y accept an incommed ocean waster ocean waster ocean waster ocean waster logos.	er level at low is from munican waste. Inded on trans RCS or GRS or ing RCS trans during audits of the transate. Faste is indicated are not associated associated in the indicated are not associated by the Internal contract in the internal co	tide or cipal action certified. saction of the action ated on ciated	
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessm	ent Definition		ASR-101-V2 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8	2.0	
Situation:	Clarity needed regarding definition of a Shadow Assessment - do these mean strictly witness audits or also include review audits?					
Interpretation:	as defined in the sexchange also be body auditor conductions. The minimum free standard, and we conducting more assessments is multiple of the sexchange of the	References to accreditation body shadow assessments refer to witness audits as defined in the situation. Review audits are a valuable tool, but Textile Exchange also believes that it is important to observe how the certification body auditor conducts the audit. The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is one per two years per standard, and we know that in many circumstances accreditation bodies are conducting more shadows than this. After the minimum number of shadow assessments is met, review audits as described may be used. IOAS definitions used in this calibration: Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes certification body's auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an introductory meeting prior to the audit, and an exit meeting for feed-back and clarification of any questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the audited organization; assessor will not speak during the audit but may take notes. Witness audits should be full audits.				
	information from the certification body's latest audit report and certification decision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was prese for the last inspection, reviewing records and a physical visit to the premise Duration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not expected to be a full repeat audit. The auditor that conducted the reviewed audit is not required to be present certification body is strongly encouraged to have a certification body representative accompanying the assessor during the Review Audit to with it but shall not interfere with the assessor's work in any sense.)					
Date Issued:	2020.02.20	Conformity Date:	2020.02.20	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 10	Generating Pre-C	Consumer Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	-
Situation:	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material".				
Interpretation:	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. (Updated 2020.06.30)				
Date Issued:	2019.05.01	Conformity Date:	2019.05.01	Status:	Issued

Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	en Standard Version	s	ASR-101-V2	2.1 D4.4.2
Situation:	Transition from old standard version to new version.				
Interpretation:	requirements as of after publication is conformity to the scheduled audit. Certification bodicate for applicable Organizations audoperate in conformations.	estions shall be in confort the mandatory implement of the mandatory implement of the case of a standard or updated standard or estandards or redited on or after the mance with and be sugary unannounced automatic of the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with and second or standards or redited on or after the mance with any second or standards or redited on or after the mance with any second or standards or redited on or after the mance with any second or standards or redited on or after the mance with any second or standards or redited on or after the mance with any second or standards or second	ementation date (rd). The certificat requirements as o lients of the mand quirements. (Upd andatory implements	typically one ion body sha f the next regardery implemated 2020.00 entation date	year II check gularly nentation 6.30)
Date Issued:	2018.08.01	Conformity Date:	2018.08.01	Status:	Issued



Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of Post-Consumer Materials			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1, definition of post- consumer		
Situation:	Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post-consumer waste?					
Interpretation:	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (preconsumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be pre-consumer. (Updated 2020.06.30)					
Date Issued:	2017.03.01	Conformity Date:	2017.03.01	Status:	Issued	